Poincaré polynomials and invariant theory Trevor Karn 14 November, 2024

Contents

[Hyperplane Arrangements](#page-0-0) 1 *[Polya Theory](#page-1-0)* 2 *[Invariant Theory](#page-2-0)* 3 *[Plethysm](#page-3-0)* 4

Hyperplane Arrangements

A **hyperplane arrangement** A is a union of codimension-1 subspaces of **K***ⁿ* , each one called a **hyperplane**. We write a defining polynomial *Q*(A) for A as a product of linear polynomials in *n* variables, and the arrangement corresponds to the points in \mathbb{K}^n for which $Q(\mathcal{A})$ vanishes.

Example 1. *Let* $Q(A) = x_1x_2(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2)$ *. There are* 4 *linear factors, so there are* 4 *hyperplanes.*

We want to study arrangements, so we associate combinatorial and algebraic objects to an arrangement A.

Definition 1. *The lattice of flats* $L(A)$ *of* A *is the partially ordered set*

$$
P = (S, \leq) = (subspaces of \mathcal{A}, \supseteq).
$$

Define the Möbius function

$$
\mu(\mathbb{R}^2, F) = \begin{cases} 1 & F = \mathbb{R}^2 \\ -\sum_{G < F} \mu(\mathbb{R}^2, G) & else \end{cases}
$$

*To each lattice of flats, we associate the Poincaré polynomial*¹ ¹

$$
\pi(L(\mathcal{A});t) = \sum_{F \in L(\mathcal{A})} \mu(\mathbb{R}^2, F)(-t)^{\text{codim } F}.
$$

Example 2. *The Hasse diagram of L*(A) *is shown at right, for* A *as in Example* [1](#page-0-1). We have $\pi(L(A); t) = 1 + 4t + 3t$. To compute $L(A)$ *it is sometimes helpful to think of the normal vectors to the subspace. For* $\mathit{example}$, $\ker([1,0]) = \mathrm{span}[0,y]^T$ and $\ker([1,-1]) = \mathrm{span}[x,x]^T$, and

$$
\ker\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.
$$

Figure 1: A picture of A with $Q(A)$ = $x_1x_2(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2)$

¹ If you know the *characteristic polynomial, this is similar, but different.*

Figure 2: The lattice of flats of the arrangement pictured above.

Polya Theory

Definition 2. *The powersum symmetric function p^k is defined to be*

$$
p_k = x_1^k + x_2^k + \cdots \in \lim_{\to} \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n].
$$

It is a power series in infinitely many variables of bounded degree. Let $\lambda =$ $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ \vdash *n* with all parts nonzero. Then define

$$
p_{\lambda} = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} p_{\lambda_3} \cdots p_{\lambda_{\ell}}.
$$

We also define the monomial symmetric function m^λ as

$$
m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\text{sort}(\alpha) = \lambda} x^{\alpha}
$$

where α is a sequence of integers and sort(*α*) *is the arrangement of α into a weakly decreasing sequence.*

Let *G* \leq *S_n*. To *g* \in *G*, we can associate a partition ρ (*g*) by considering the length of the cycles in *g*. The partition $\rho(g)$ is constant on *Sⁿ* conjugacy classes [*g*].

Definition 3. *The cycle index symmetric function is*

$$
Z_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} p_{\rho(g)}.
$$

Example 3. *Consider the subgroup* $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \leq S_4$ *. The possible ρ*(*g*) *are* 1111 *and* 211 *and* 22*. The cycle index symmetric function of G is*

$$
Z_G = \frac{1}{4} \left(p_1^4 + 2p_2p_1^2 + p2^2 \right).
$$

Let X^S denote the set of all functions $S \to \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\}$. Let X^S/G denote the set of orbits of *G* acting on the variables *x*_{*i*}. We are supposed to think of a single function in *X ^S* as a "coloring" of the set *S*. Then an orbit in *X ^S*/*G* is a coloring "up to symmetry." We associate the monomial $x^{\mathcal{O}}$ to each orbit.

Definition 4. *The pattern inventory symmetric function is*

$$
F_G = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in X^S / G} x^{\mathcal{O}}.
$$

The definition of Z_G is useful because of the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Polya). $Z_G = F_G$.

In other words, the expansion into monomials of Z_G enumerates colorings of a set with *G* symmetry.

Example 4. *Suppose we have* 4 *flavors of donuts. How many ways are there to distribute* 2 *donuts to Alice and* 2 *donuts to Bob? Let*

$$
G=\mathbb{Z}/2\times\mathbb{Z}/2.
$$

This is the symmetry group of giving donuts to Alice and Bob because they don't care in which order they have their donuts, but it does matter who gets which. We expand

$$
Z_G = \frac{1}{4} \left(p_1^4 + 2p_2 p_1^2 + p_2^2 \right) = 6m_{1111} + 4m_{211} + 3m_{22} + 2m_{31} + m_4.
$$

Thus, there are 6 *ways (*= (4 2)*) to give Alice and Bob* 4 *different flavored donuts, and* 5 *ways to give them two different donuts. For suppose we have glazed (G) and chocolate frosted (F). Then the possibilities are listed at right.*

Invariant Theory

Let a group *G* act on a ring *R*. The fundamental object² of study in $\frac{1}{2}$ For tools and techniques used to (classical) invariant theory is the **invariant ring**

$$
R^G = \{r \in R : g \cdot r = r\}.
$$

Example 5. $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^{S_n} = \{symmetric polynomials\}$

Example 6. $C[x_1, x_2, \ldots]^{S_n} = C[p_1, p_2, p_3, \cdots]$, *a polynomial ring in infinitely many variables.*

The Reynolds operator \mathfrak{R} is a projection $R \to R^G.$

$$
\Re(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot x.
$$

Example 7. *Consider* $\mathfrak{R}: \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^{S_n}$. Then

$$
\Re(1) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in S_n} g \cdot 1 = \frac{n!}{n!} = 1.
$$

and

$$
\Re(x_1) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in S_n} g \cdot x_1 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{3}
$$

and

$$
\Re(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in S_n} g \cdot (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n
$$

Alice | Bob FF GG
FG FG $\begin{array}{c|c}\nFG & FG \\
GG & FF.\n\end{array}$ FF. Figure 3: Possible ways to distribute 2 glazed and 2 frosted donuts, counted by 3*m*²²

Figure 4: Possible ways to distribute 3 glazed and 1 frosted donuts, counted by 2*m*31.

study this ring, see Derksen-Kemper's *Computation Invariant Theory*.

Plethysm

Plethysm is an algebraic operation which can be thought of as "substituting the monomials of a function in for the variables in a symmetric function another." 3 $\frac{3}{2}$ The definition I'll give here is as writ-

Definition 5. *Suppose* $g = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ *. Define* y_i *as*

∏ *i* $(1 + y_i t) = \prod_i$ $(1+x^{\alpha}t)^{u_{\alpha}}$.

Then define the plethysm

$$
f[g] = f(y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots).
$$

Example 8. *Let* $g = 1 + q$ *. Let*

$$
f = p_2 p_1
$$

= $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots)(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots)$
= $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \cdots + x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_1 + x_1^2 x_3 + \cdots$

Then

$$
(1 + y_1t)(1 + y_2t) \cdots = (1 + t)(1 + qt)
$$

so $y_i = 0$ *for* $i > 2$ *and*

$$
1 + (y_1 + y_2)t + y_1y_2t^2 = 1 + (1 + q)t + qt^2.
$$

So $y_1 = 1$ *and* $y_2 = q$ (or the other way around, but f a symmetric function *so*

$$
f(y_1, y_2, 0, ...) = f(y_2, y_1, 0, ...)
$$

= $y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_1^2y_2 + y_2^2y_1$
= $1 + q^3 + q + q^2$

Alternatively we could use the following.

Definition 6. *The operation of plethysm is uniquely defined by*

- *1.* $p_k[p_m] = p_{km}$
- 2. $p_k[f \pm g] = p_k[f] \pm p_k[g]$, (note that this is particular to p_k)
- 3*.* $p_k[f \cdot g] = p_k[f] \cdot p_k[g]$,
- 4*.* $(f \pm g)[h] = f[h] \pm g[h]$ *, and*
- $f: (f \cdot g)[h] = f[h] \cdot g[h]$

Example 9. Let $f = \frac{1}{4} (p_1^4 + 2p_2p_1^2 + p_2^2) = 6m_{1111} + 4m_{211} + 3m_{22} +$ $2m_{31} + m_4$. *Then*

$$
f[1+q] = \frac{1}{4} \left((1+q)^4 + 2(1+q^2)(1+q)^2 + (1+q^2)^2 \right)
$$

= $3q^2 + 2(q^3 + q) + (1+q^4)$.

ten in Macdonald, but you should also see Loehr-Remmel's *A Computational and Combinatorial Exposé of Plethystic Calculus* to learn more and actually use it.

Conjecture 1 (Foulkes). *The quantity* $h_a[h_b] - h_b[h_a]$ *is Schur positive.*

Open Problem 1. *Combinatorially interpret*⁴ *expansion of* $s_\lambda[s_\mu]$ *.*

⁴ Some cases are known, for example s_k [s_2] is the sum over the Schur functions of all partitions of 2*k* into even parts. For a more detailed list of known cases, see *The Mystery of Plethysm Coefficients* by Colmenarejo-Orellana-Saliola-Schilling-Zabrocki.